



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,664	. 04/12/2000	Geoffrey B. Rhoads	. 60156	6242
23735	7590 11/05/2003		EXAM	INER .
DIGIMARC CORPORATION 19801 SW 72ND AVENUE			VU, VIE	T DUY .
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TUALATIN, OR 97062			2154	6
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/05/200	\mathcal{O}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/547,664

Applicant(s)

Rhoads et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Viet Vu

Art Unit **2154**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, how mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory may be a second or reply specified. 	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than thee months after the mailing date of this communic 	to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 22, 2001 (chang	e of address)				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-fi	inal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideratio				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) 7-16	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-6</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a☐ acc	epted or b objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: $f aD$ approved $f bD$ disapproved by the Examine				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office	action.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h application from the International Bureau (PCT Rul *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified c	le 17.2(a)).				
14) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application	n has been received.				
15) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) 📈 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 6) 🗌 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction:

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 3-6, drawn to method of accessing a remote server, classified in class 709, subclass 219.
 - II. Claim 2, drawn to specific application of database, classified in class 707, and subclass 104.
 - III. Claims 7-16, drawn to method of using watermark in delivering data content, class 380, subclass 28.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombinations. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination I as claimed does not require the particulars the subcombinations II and III as claimed because conventional database and data embedding/linking can be used. The subcombination ΙI separate utility such has as distributing data files to a plurality of users. The subcombination

III has separate utility such as watermarking for enabling distributing copyrighted material.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 7-16 was given by Mr. Meyer on October 30, 2003 via telephone. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Rejections:

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if

the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 7-8, 10-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Moskowitz et al, U.S. pat. No. 5,822,432.

<u>Moskowitz</u> discloses a system utilizing digital watermarking for distributing copyrighted materials comprising:

- a) a watermark detector (see col 8, lines 54-65),
- b) a watermark related software for facilitating metering service for enabling delivery of copyrighted materials including text, audio, video from a server node to a user node via a network (see col 8, line 66 col 9, line 40).
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moskowitz.

Moskowitz does not explicitly teach a router. An official notice is taken that the use of router at a network sever is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a router in <u>Moskowitz</u> because it would have enabled the network server to deliver data content to a plurality of users.

Conclusion:

- 7. The references cited by the examiner on PTO-892 but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 703-305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600. The Group fax number is 703-872-9306.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tuh),w

Art Unit 2154 10/30/03